

0040-4020(93)E0055-K

Nucleophilic Behaviour of DBU and DBN in Reactions with 4-Halo-3,5-dimethyl-1-nitro-1H-pyrazoles¹

Hendrik Lammers^{*2}, Pauline Cohen-Fernandes, and Clarisse L. Habraken

University of Leiden, Gorlaeus Laboratories, P.O. Box 9502, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

Abstract: Addition of 3 equivalents of DBU or DBN to a solution of 4-halo-3,5-dimethyl-1-nitro-1H-pyrazole (5a-c) in acetonitrile affords the unexpected products 6a-c and 7a-c in fair to good yields. A reaction mechanism is proposed involving an elimination of HNO₂ giving a diazafulvene intermediate 8 followed by the addition of a second molecule of DBU or DBN to 8.

INTRODUCTION

The efficiency of the bicyclic amidines DBU (1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene) and DBN (1,5-diazabicyclo[4.3.0]non-5-ene) as non-nucleophilic strong bases in organic and inorganic chemistry has been widely demonstrated.³ Especially their use as dehydrohalogenation reagents has been of great importance.^{3,4} However, recently Reed *et al.*⁵ described the remarkable strong nucleophilic behaviour of DBU and DBN in reaction with halogenated compounds of main group elements. Their results elucidate earlier observed and unexplained behaviour of DBU and DBN in several dehydrohalogenation reactions.⁶⁻⁸ In this contribution we report the remarkable nucleophilic behaviour of DBU and DBN in another base-induced reaction.



Scheme	1
--------	---

In 1977 Habraken and Bonser⁹ reported the reaction of 3,5-dimethyl-1,4-dinitro-1H-pyrazole (1) with secondary amines in alcoholic solution affording 3-hydroxymethyl-5-methyl-4-nitro-1H-pyrazole (2) and 3-alkoxymethyl-5-methyl-4-nitro-1H-pyrazoles (3) (Scheme 1). This functionalization of the 5-methyl group was assumed to proceed by an elimination-addition reaction involving the diazafulvene 4 as an intermediate (Scheme 2).



Scheme 2

To elaborate this functionalization for further synthetic means, the reaction of 4-halo-3,5-dimethyl-1-nitro-1H-pyrazoles (5a-c) was studied.¹⁰ The lower electronegativity of the halo atoms, now in position 4, prompted us to use stronger bases such as DBU and DBN for the elimination of HNO_2 . Surprisingly, 6a-c and 7a-c obtained ultimately, are derivatives of adducts of a diazafulvene and DBU and DBN (Scheme 3). We present a reaction mechanism for the formation of these unexpected compounds.





The reaction was initially carried out in EtOH, however higher yields of 6a-c and 7a-c were obtained in acetonitrile solution using 3 eq. of base. The yields are summarized in Table 1.

Structure assignments were carried out, mainly by IR, ¹H NMR, and MS. From the values of the amide C=O stretch vibrations of the products it can be concluded that we are dealing with γ -lactam (1650 cm⁻¹) and ϵ -lactam (1610 cm⁻¹) derivatives.¹¹

A detailed ¹H NMR and MS study of the compounds **6b** and **7b** has been carried out. The numbering as depicted in Scheme 3 was followed. In the ¹H NMR spectra the methylene protons of the propylene unit ($A_2M_2X_2$ spin system) could be distinguished from the methylene protons of the ring. Due to the lower flexibility of the ring protons second order effects in these spin systems are observed.

Especially in **6b** the methylene protons of the ϵ -lactam gave unresolved multiplets. In the proton spectrum of **7b** the discrimination is less obvious but can be deduced from the incorrect peak intensities of the observed A₂M₂X₂ spin system corresponding to the methylene protons of the γ -lactam. The protons of the methylene group attached to the pyrazole ring are shifted downfield ($\delta = 3.6-3.9$ ppm) due to the N-functionalization.

Compound	Yield (%)
ба	51
6b	74
6c	54
7a	21
7b	32
7c	34

Table 1. Highest Yields of 6a-c and 7a-c (Scheme 3) obtained from the Reaction of 5 and 3 eq. DBU or DBN in Acetonitrile.

The four bond-breakings in the acyclic part of the obtained lactams are observed in the fragmentation pattern of the MS spectra of the obtained products. For example in the MS spectrum of **6b** the bond-breaking between C4 and nitrogen gave three signals at 173, 175, and 169 m/z. Bond-breaking between C1 and nitrogen gave three signals at 188, 190 and 154 m/z. Bond-breaking between C2 and C2 gave three signals at 202, 204, and 140 m/z and bond-breaking between C2 and C3 gave three signals at 216, 218, and 126 m/z.



Scheme 4

In Scheme 4 a mechanism proposed for the formation of 6 and 7 is depicted. The first step is a HNO_2 elimination by DBU or DBN giving diazafulvene^{12,13} 8 as proposed by Habraken and Bonser.⁹ Presumably the electrophilicity of the exocyclic methylene of 8 is even more pronounced than in carbocyclic fulvenes. Consequently, 8 can react with the imine N-atom of a second molecule of DBU or DBN affording the intermediate 9 which is then trapped by a molecule of H₂O present in the reaction

mixture giving 10. Being a tertiary amino alcohol, 10 may be in equilibrium with either 11 or 6/7. Similar equilibria have been described extensively by Deslongchamps *et al.*¹⁴ for the basic hydrolysis of bicyclic imidates. Clearly, in the present case, γ - and ϵ - lactams 6 and 7 being the ultimate products, are the thermodynamically stable compounds.

CONCLUSION

The addition of 3 eq. DBU or DBN to a solution of 4-halo-3,5-dimethyl-1-nitro-1H-pyrazole (5) in acetonitrile gave the unexpected products 6 and 7 in fair to good yields. The compounds 6 and 7 are formed by *nucleophilic* attack of a second molecule of DBU or DBN on an electrophilic diazafulvene 8 formed by HNO₂ elimination from the 4-halo-3,5-dimethyl-1-nitro-1H-pyrazoles 5 by DBU or DBN. Therefore DBU and DBN, under the proper conditions, do react both as nucleophiles as well as strong bases.

EXPERIMENTAL

¹H-NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL FX 200 FT NMR spectrometer and Bruker WM 300 and 400 MHz (TMS as internal reference). IR spectra (KBr pellets or neat) were obtained on a Pye Unicam SP3-200 spectrometer. Mass spectra were obtained using a ITD ion-trap detetector (GC-MS), HP-5985A (MS) and a TSQ (LC-MS) specrometer with EI ionization, electron beam energy 70eV, source temperature 423 K. The compounds were purified by short column chromatography on silica H (Merck 7736, CHCl₃/MeOH 1/1) according to Stahl as described by Hunt and Rigby¹⁵. Spraying with a Rhodamine B solution (0.05% in EtOH) was used for detection of nitropyrazoles. The purple coloured spots characteristic for all nitropyrazoles turned yellow on standing in the case of N-nitropyrazoles. TLC plates were used from Schleicher & Schuell F11500/LS 254. All solvents used for column chromatography and reactions were purified according to standard procedures. Compounds **5a-c** were synthesized as described earlier.¹⁶⁻¹⁸

To a solution of 0.5 g 4-halo-3,5-dimethyl-1-nitro-1H-pyrazole (5a-c) in 2 ml CH₃CN, 3 eq. of DBU or DBN (obtained from Janssen Chimica) were slowly added at room temperature. The reaction was monitored by TLC. When the reaction was finished CH₃CN was evaporated, and the resulting oil was purified by column chromatography. All products were obtained as oils. The yields of isolated pure 6 and 7 are reported in Table 1. Physical data follow:

1-[3-[(4-Chloro-3-methyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)methylamino]propyl]azepan-2-one (**6a**). Yellow oil ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 200 MHz): δ (ppm) 1.72 (m, 8H, H2, H7, H8, H9); 2.20 (s, 3H, H5); 2.52 (m, 2H, H10); 2.84 (t, 2H, H1); 3.40 (m, 4H, H3, H6); 3.96 (s, 2H, H4). IR ν_{max} (KBr) 1610 cm⁻¹. MS m/z: 300, 298 (M⁺); 263 (M⁺ - Cl); 169; 154; 126; 56 (100%). HR-MS C₁₄H₂₃³⁵ClN₄O (M⁺): calcd 298.1560; obsd 298.1551 1-[3-[(4-Bromo-3-methyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)methylamino]propyl]azepan-2-one (**6b**). Yellow oil ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ (ppm) 1.58 (m, 4H, H8, H9); 1.69 (m, 2H, H7); 1.92 (q, 2H, H2); 2.26 (s, 3H, H5); 2.47 (m, 2H, H10); 2.83 (t, 2H, H1); 3.32 (m, 2H, H6); 3.44 (t, 2H, H3); 4.08 (s, 2H, H4). IR ν_{max} (KBr) 1610 cm⁻¹. MS m/z (%); 344, 342 (M⁺, 25); 263 (M⁺ - Br, 25); 218 (10); 216 (10); 126 (65); 204 (15); 202 (15); 140 (12); 190 (60); 188 (60; 154 (30); 175 (35); 173 (35); 169 (50); 56 (100). HR-MS C₁₄H₂₃⁷⁹BrN₄0

(M⁺): calcd 342.1055; obsd 342.1047

1-[3-[(4-Iodo-3-methyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)methylamino]propyl]azepan-2-one (6c). Yellow oil ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 200 MHz): δ (ppm) 1.68 (m, 8H, H2, H7, H8, H9); 2.24 (s, 3H, H5); 2.52 (m, 2H, H10); 2.84 (t, 2H, H1); 3.48 (m, 4H, H3, H6); 3.96 (s, 2H, H4). IR ν_{max} (KBr) 1610 cm⁻¹. MS m/z: 390 (M⁺); 263 (M⁺ - I); 169; 154; 126; 56 (100%). HR-MS C₁₄H₂₃IN₄O (M⁺): calcd 390.0917; obsd 390.0921

1-[3-[(4-Chloro-3-methyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)methylamino]propyl]pyrrolidin-2-one (7a). Light brown oil ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz); δ (ppm) 1.84 (q, 2H, H2); 2.04 (q, 2H, H7); 2.24 (s, 3H, H5); 2.40 (t, 2H, H8); 2.76 (t, 2H, H1); 3.46 (m, 4H, H3, H6); 3.92 (s, 2H, H4). IR ν_{max} 1650 cm⁻¹. MS m/z: 272, 270 (M⁺); 235 (M⁺ - Cl); 144; 129; 112. HR-MS C₁₂H₁₉³⁵ClN₄O (M+): calcd 270.1247; obsd 270.1239.

1-[3-[(4-Bromo-3-methyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)methylamino]propyl]pyrrolidin-2-one (7b). Light brown oil ¹H NMR (CDCl₃/C₆D₆, 400 MHz): δ (ppm) 1.57 (q, 2H, H2); 1.71 (q, 2H, H7); 2.20 (s, 3H, H5); 2.23 (t, 2H, H8); 2.44 (t, 2H, H1); 3.04 (t, 2H, H6); 3.21 (t, 2H, H3); 3.76 (s, 2H, H4). IR ν_{max} (KBr) 1650 cm⁻¹. MS m/z (%): 316, 314 (M⁺, 5); 235 (M⁺ - Br, 42); 218 (5); 216 (5); 204 (20); 202 (20); 112 (10); 190 (100); 126 (30); 175 (83); 173 (83); 141 (60). HR-MS C₁₂H₁₉⁷⁹BrN₄O (M+): calcd 314.0742: obsd 314.0753.

1-[3-[(4-Iodo-3-methyl-1H-pyrazol-5-yl)methylamino]propyl]pyrrolidin-2-one (7c). Light brown oil ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 200 MHz): δ (ppm) 1.68 (q, 2H, H2); 1.92 (q, 2H, H7); 2.16 (s, 3H, H5); 2.28 (t, 2H, H8); 2.78 (t, 2H, H1); 3.32 (m, 4H, H3, H6); 3.62 (s, 2H, H4). IR ν_{max} (KBr) 1650 cm⁻¹. MS m/z: 362 (M⁺); 235 (M⁺ - I); 221; 141; 98. HR-MS C₁₂H₁₉IN₄O (M⁺): calcd 362.0604; obsd 362.0612.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Mr. C. Erkelens and Mr. A. W. M. Lefeber are thanked for recording the NMR spectra. Dr. R. Fokkens and Mr. J. J. van Houte are thanked for measuring the mass spectra. Dr. L. Maat is thanked for his contribution in naming compounds 6 and 7.

REFERENCES

- 1. Pyrazole Studies. Part 20. Part 19: J.P.H. Juffermans and C. L. Habraken J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 4656-4660.
- Taken in part from the undergraduate research thesis of H.L. at Leiden University; present address: Laboratory for Organic Chemistry and Catalysis, Delft University of Technology, Julianalaan 136, 2628 BL Delft, The Netherlands.
- 3. Oediger, H.; Möller, F.; Eiter, K. Synthesis 1972, 591-598.
- 4. Hermecz, I. Adv. Heterocycl. Chem. 1987, 42, 83-202.
- 5. Reed, R.; Réau, R.; Dahan, F.; Bertrand, G. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 399-401.
- 6. Juneja, I.R.; Garg, D.K.; Schäfer, W. Tetrahedron 1982, 38, 551-556.
- 7. McCoy, L.L.; Mal, D. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 1016-1018.
- 8. House, H.O.; de Tar, M.B.; van der Veer, D. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 3793-3800.

- 9. Habraken C. L.; Bonser, S. M. Heterocycles 1977, 7, 257-263.
- 10. Lammers, H.; Vollinga, R.C.; Zandbergen, P.; Cohen-Fernandes, P.; Habraken, C. L. to be published.
- 11. Cross, A.D.; Jones, R. A. An Introduction to Practical Infra-red Spectroscopy, 3rd ed.; Butterworths, London, 1969, 89.
- 12. Burgess, E.M.; Sanchez, J.P. J. Org. Chem. 1974, 39, 940-948.
- 13. Freeman J. P.; Lorenc, J.F. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 177-178.
- Deslongchamps, P.; Dubé, S.; Lebreux, C.; Patterson, D.R.; Taillefer, R.J. Can. J. Chem. 1975, 53, 2791-2807.
- 15. Hunt, B.J.; Rigby, W. Chem. Ind. 1967, 1868-1869.
- 16. Hexner, P.E.; Wiley, R.H. Org. Syntheses Coll. Vol IV, 1973, 351-353.
- 17. Hüttel, R.; Büchele, F. Chem. Ber. 1955, 88, 1586-1590.
- 18. Morgan, G.T.; Ackerman, I. J. Chem. Soc. 1923, 123, 1308-1318.

(Received in UK 2 August 1993; revised 18 October 1993; accepted 21 October 1993)